

1 EILEEN M. DECKER  
2 United States Attorney  
3 PATRICIA A. DONAHUE  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 Chief, National Security Division  
6 MIEKE B. TARWATER (Cal. Bar No. 228828)  
7 Assistant United States Attorney  
8 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section  
9 1500 United States Courthouse  
10 312 North Spring Street  
11 Los Angeles, California 90012  
12 Telephone: (213) 894-0687  
13 Facsimile: (213) 894-7631  
14 E-mail: mieke.tarwater@usdoj.gov

15  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18  
19  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
21 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

23 No. ED CR 16-292-JGB

24 Plaintiff,

25 STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR  
26 (1) CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND  
27 (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME  
28 PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL  
29 ACT

30 v.

31 MARIYA CHERNYKH,  
32 TATIANA FAROOK, and  
33 SYED RAHEEL FAROOK,  
34  
35 Defendants.

36

37

38

39 **CURRENT TRIAL DATE:**  
40 **June 21, 2016**

41 **[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE:**  
42 **November 8, 2016**

43 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel  
44 of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of  
45 California and Assistant United States Attorneys Jay H. Robinson,  
46 Melanie Sartoris, and Mieke B. Tarwater, and defendants Mariya  
47 Chernykh, Tatiana Farook, and Syed Raheel Farook ("defendants"), by  
48  
49

50    ///

51    ///

52    ///

1 and through their counsel of record, David Kaloyanides, Esq., Dyke  
2 Huish, Esq., and Ron Cordova, Esq., respectively, hereby stipulate as  
3 follows:

4 1. The Indictment in this case was made public on April 28,  
5 2016. Defendants first appeared before a judicial officer of the  
6 court in which the charges in this case were pending on April 28,  
7 2016. The Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, originally required  
8 that the trial commence on or before July 7, 2016.

9 2. On April 28, 2016, the Court set a trial date of June 21,  
10 2016, and a status conference date of June 6, 2016.

11 3. Defendant CHERNYKH was released on bond pending trial in  
12 this matter but, following her release, was taken into the custody of  
13 the Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs  
14 Enforcement. Defendants TATIANA FAROOK and SYED RAHEEL FAROOK are  
15 released on bond pending trial. The parties estimate that the trial  
16 in this matter will last approximately 6-8 days. All defendants are  
17 joined for trial and a severance has not been granted.

18 4. By this stipulation, defendants move to continue the trial  
19 date to November 8, 2016 at 9 a.m. and the status conference to  
20 October 31, 2016 at 10 a.m. This is the first request for a  
21 continuance.

22 5. Defendants requests the continuance based upon the  
23 following facts, which the parties believe demonstrate good cause to  
24 support the appropriate findings under the Speedy Trial Act:

25 a. Defendants are charged with a violation of 18 U.S.C.  
26 § 371: Conspiracy. In addition, defendant CHERNYKH is charged with  
27 violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1546: Fraud and Misuse of Visas, Permits,  
28 and Other Documents; 18 U.S.C. § 1621: Perjury; and 18 U.S.C.

1 § 1001(a)(2): Material False Statements. This case involves a large  
2 amount of discovery, which the government estimates to include  
3 thousands of pages of reports of investigation and other documents,  
4 and hundreds of hours of audio and/or video recordings constituting  
5 at least 4 terabytes of data. The parties continue to meet and  
6 confer about discovery, and are negotiating a stipulation to request  
7 a protective order concerning discovery produced in this matter.

8                   b. Defense counsel represent that they will not have the  
9 time that they believe is necessary to prepare to try this case on  
10 the current trial date.

11                   c. In light of the foregoing, counsel for defendants also  
12 represent that additional time is necessary to confer with  
13 defendants, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the  
14 case, conduct and complete additional legal research including for  
15 potential pre-trial motions, review the discovery and potential  
16 evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a  
17 pretrial resolution does not occur. Defense counsel represent that  
18 failure to grant the continuance would deny them reasonable time  
19 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise  
20 of due diligence.

21                   d. Defendants believe that failure to grant the  
22 continuance will deny them continuity of counsel and adequate  
23 representation.

24                   e. The government does not object to the continuance.

25                   f. The requested continuance is not based on congestion  
26 of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of  
27 the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the  
28

1 part of the attorney for the Government to obtain available  
2 witnesses.

3 6. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial  
4 Act by which defendant's trial must commence, the parties agree that  
5 the time period of June 21, 2016 to November 8, 2016, inclusive,  
6 should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),  
7 (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a  
8 continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request, without  
9 government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i)  
10 the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best  
11 interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure  
12 to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of  
13 the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and  
14 (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny  
15 defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the  
16 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into  
17 account the exercise of due diligence.

18 7. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that  
19 other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence.  
2 Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy  
3 Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional  
4 time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

5 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

6 Dated: June 1, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

7 EILEEN M. DECKER  
United States Attorney

8 PATRICIA A. DONAHUE  
9 Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division

11 /s/ Mieke B. Tarwater

12 JAY H. ROBINSON  
MELANIE SARTORIS  
MIEKE B. TARWATER  
13 Assistant United States Attorney

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

17 I am Defendant CHERNYKH's attorney. I have carefully discussed  
18 every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the trial date  
19 with my client. I have fully informed my client of her Speedy Trial  
20 rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those rights and  
21 agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision to give up

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25

26

27

28

1 the right to be brought to trial earlier than November 8, 2016 is an  
2 informed and voluntary one.

3 /s/ by electronic authorization

June 1, 2016

4 DAVID KALOYANIDES  
Attorney for Defendant  
5 MARIYA CHERNYKH

Date

6 I am Defendant TATIANA FAROOK's attorney. I have carefully  
7 discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the  
8 trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of her  
9 Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those  
10 rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision  
11 to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than November 8,  
12 2016 is an informed and voluntary one.

13 /s/ by electronic authorization

May 26, 2016

14 DYKE HUISH  
Attorney for Defendant  
15 TATIANA FAROOK

Date

16 I am Defendant SYED RAHEEL FAROOK's attorney. I have carefully  
17 discussed every part of this stipulation and the continuance of the  
18 trial date with my client. I have fully informed my client of his  
19 Speedy Trial rights. To my knowledge, my client understands those  
20 rights and agrees to waive them. I believe that my client's decision  
21 to give up the right to be brought to trial earlier than November 8,  
22 2016 is an informed and voluntary one.

23 /s/ by electronic authorization

June 1, 2016

24 RON CORDOVA  
Attorney for Defendant  
25 SYED RAHEEL FAROOK

Date

26

27

28